4

Cf 10001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 2054 /2003

Petitioners :

1. Lakhan Lal, S/o Hardeo Sahu
aged about 54 years,
R/o village Tendubhatha,
Post and Tahsil Saja,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

2. Banshi, S/o Dheloo Sahu
aged about 45 years,
R/o village Tendubhatha,
Post and Tahsil Saja,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

3. Pardeshi, S/o Thanwar
aged about 47 years,
R/o village Tendubhatha,
Post and Tahsil Saja,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

4. Baishakhoo, S/o Same
aged about 47 years,
R/o village Tendubhatha,
Post and Tahsil Saja,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

5. Juthel S/o Pardeshi Sahu,
aged about 51 years,
R/o village Umariya,
Post Kanhera, Distt. Durg

6. Sukaloo,
aged about 57 years,
R/o Post Kusmi (Berla),
Tah. Berla Dist Durg (C.G.)

P. R. No. 1915/03
Presented by Shri Sanjay Agrawal
dated 11.02.03

18/6/03

Received copy
11/7/2003

7. Keju,
aged about 49 years,
R/o Post Kusmi (Berla),
Tah. Berla Dist Durg (C.G.)

8. Nankoo S/o Kaliram Satnami
aged about 57 years,
R/o Bemetara
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

9. Ghanaram, S/o Jageshwar
aged about 47 years,
R/o Bemetara
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

10. Ganesh S/o Latel,
aged about 51 years
R/o Bemetara
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
through : Secretary
Public Works Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Superintendent Engineer
Public Works Department
Durg, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

4. Executive Engineer,
Public Works Department
Bemetara, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

5. Sub Divisional Officer
Public Works Department
Bemetara, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

6. Sub Divisional Officer
Public Works Department
Saja, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 2054/03

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14.11.2005

Petitioners by Shri Sudhir Verma, Advocate.

Respondents by Shri Arun Sao, Deputy Govt. Advocate.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners were working as Gangman in Public Works Department. The petitioners were retired vide order dated 2.4.2003/24.3.2003 on attaining 30 years of service. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the present case is covered by the order dated 15th September, 2005 passed by this Court in W.P.No. 93/2005 (Bharatram Sahu & others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others) and other connected matter. Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact.

In view of the foregoing, this petition is allowed in terms of the order dated 15.9.2005 passed in W.P.No. 93/2005.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000